



# CONCURRENT SYSTEMS LECTURE 8

Prof. Daniele Gorla



## Enhancing Liveness Properties

For MUTEX-based concurrency we saw that a weak liveness property (deadlock freedom) can be always enhanced to a stronger one (bounded bypass)

We want to do the same in the framework of MUTEX-free concurrency

**Contention manager:** is an object that allows progress of processes by providing contention-free periods for completing their invocations. It provides 2 operations:

- `need_help(i)` : invoked by  $p_i$  when it discovers that there is contention
- `stop_help(i)` : invoked by  $p_i$  when it terminates its current invocation

**Enriched implementation:** when a process realizes that there is contention, it invokes `need_help`; when it completes its current operation, it invokes `stop_help`.

REMARK: this is different from lock/unlock because in this framework we allow (fail-stop) failures, that can also happen during the contention-free period

→ the contention-free period always terminates

PROBLEM: to distinguish a failure from a long delay, we need objects called *failure detectors*, that provide processes information on the failed processes of the system.

→ according to the type/quality of the info, several F.D.s can be defined





## From obstruction-freedom to non-blocking

**Eventually restricted leadership:** given a non-empty set of process IDs  $X$ , the failure detector  $\Omega_X$  provides each process a local variable  $ev\_leader(X)$  such that

1. (*Validity*)  $ev\_leader(X)$  always contains a process ID
2. (*Eventual leadership*) Eventually, all  $ev\_leader(X)$  of all non-crashed processes of  $X$  for ever contain the same process ID, that is one of them

REMARK: the moment in which all variables contain the same leader is unknown

`NEED_HELP[1..n] : SWMR atomic R/W boolean registers init at false`

```
need_help(i) :=  
  NEED_HELP[i] ← true  
  repeat  
    X ← {j : NEED_HELP[j]}  
  until ev_leader(X) = i
```

```
stop_help(i) :=  
  NEED_HELP[i] ← false
```





---

**Thm.:** the contention manager just seen transforms an obstr.-free implementation into a non-blocking enriched implementation.

*Proof:*

By contr.,  $\exists \tau$  s.t.  $\exists$  many ( $> 0$ ) op.'s invoked concurrently that never terminate

Let  $Q$  be the set of proc.'s that performed these invocations.

- By enrichment, eventually  $NEED\_HELP[i]=T$  ( $\forall i \in Q$ ) forever
- Since crashes are fail-stop, eventually  $NEED\_HELP[j]$  is no longer modified ( $\forall j \notin Q$ )  
 $\rightarrow \exists \tau' \geq \tau$  when all proc.'s in  $Q$  compute the same  $X$

OBS.:  $Q \subseteq X$  (it is possible that  $p_j$  sets  $NEED\_HELP[j]$  and then fails)

By def. of  $\Omega_X$ ,  $\exists \tau'' \geq \tau'$  s.t. all proc.'s in  $Q$  have the same  $ev\_leader(X)$

- $\rightarrow$  the leader belongs to  $Q$ , since it cannot be failed
- $\rightarrow$  this is the only process allowed to proceed
- $\rightarrow$  because run in isolation, it eventually terminates (bec. of obstr-freedom)





## On implementing $\Omega$

It can be proved that there exists no wait-free implementation of  $\Omega$  in an asynchronous system with atomic R/W registers and any number of crashes

- crashes are indistinguishable from long delays
- need of timing constraints

1.  $\exists$  time  $\tau_1$ , time interval  $\Delta$  and correct process  $p_L$  s.t. after  $\tau_1$  every two consecutive writes to a specific SWMR atomic R/W register by  $p_L$  are at most  $\Delta$  time units apart one from the other
2. Let  $t$  be an upper bound on the number of possible failing processes and  $f$  the real number of processes failed (hence,  $0 \leq f \leq t \leq n-1$ , with  $f$  unknown and  $t$  known in advance).

Then, there are at least  $t-f$  correct processes different from  $p_L$  with a timer s.t.

$\exists$  time  $\tau_2 \forall$  time interval  $\delta$ , if their timer is set to  $\delta$  after  $\tau_2$  it expires at least after  $\delta$

REMARK:  $\tau_1$ ,  $\tau_2$ ,  $\Delta$  and  $p_L$  are all unknown





## On implementing $\Omega$

IDEA:

- PROGRESS[1..n] is an array of SWMR atomic registers used by proc's to signal that they're alive
  - $p_i$  regularly increases PROGRESS[i]
  - $p_L$  eventually increases PROGRESS[L] every  $\Delta$  time units at the latest
- $p_i$  suspects  $p_j$  if  $p_i$  doesn't see any progress of  $p_j$  after a proper time interval (to be guessed) set in its timer
- The leader is the least suspected process, or the one with smallest/biggest ID among the least suspected ones (if there are more than one)
  - this changes in time, but not forever

Guessing the time duration for suspecting a process:

- SUSPECT[i,j] = #times  $p_i$  has suspected  $p_j$
- For all  $k$ , take the  $t+1$  minimum values in SUSPECT[1..n , k]
- Sum them, to obtain  $S_k$
- The interval to use in the timers is the minimum  $S_k$ 
  - it can be proved that this eventually becomes  $\geq \Delta$





## From obstruction-freedom to wait-freedom

**Eventually perfect:** the failure detector  $\diamond P$  provides each process  $p_i$  a local variable  $\text{suspected}_i$  such that

1. (*Eventual completeness*) Eventually,  $\text{suspected}_i$  contains all the indexes of crashed processes, for all correct  $p_i$
2. (*Eventual accuracy*) Eventually,  $\text{suspected}_i$  contains only indexes of crashed processes, for all correct  $p_i$

**Def.:** FD1 is **stronger** than FD2 if there exists an algorithm that builds FD2 from instances of FD1 and atomic R/W registers

**Prop.:**  $\diamond P$  is stronger than  $\Omega_X$ .

*Proof:*

For all  $i$

- $i \notin X \rightarrow \text{ev\_leader}_i(X)$  is any ID (and may change in time)
- $i \in X \rightarrow \text{ev\_leader}_i(X) = \min(\Pi \setminus \text{suspected}_i \cap X)$

where  $\Pi$  denotes the set of all proc. IDs





## From obstruction-freedom to wait-freedom

$\Omega_X$  is NOT stronger than  $\diamond P$  (so,  $\diamond P$  is strictly stronger).

One possible idea (WRONG!) is

- Run  $\Omega_{\Pi}$  that eventually fixes  $p_{\ell_1}$
- After this, run  $\Omega_{\Pi \setminus \{\ell_1\}}$  that eventually fixes  $p_{\ell_2}$
- After this, run  $\Omega_{\Pi \setminus \{\ell_1, \ell_2\}}$  that eventually fixes  $p_{\ell_3}$
- ...

This eventually calculates the set of all non-crashed proc.'s

→ PROBL.: we cannot know when a leader is elected (permanently)

The formal proof consists in showing that, if  $\Omega$  was stronger than  $\diamond P$ , then consensus would be possible in an asynchronous system with crashes and atomic R/W registers.





## From obstruction-freedom to wait-freedom

---

We assume a *weak timestamp generator*, i.e. a function such that, if it returns a positive value  $t$  to some process, only a finite number of invocations can obtain a timestamp smaller than or equal to  $t$

TS[1..n] : SWMR atomic R/W registers init at 0

need\_help(i) :=

    TS[i]  $\leftarrow$  weak\_ts()

    repeat

        competing  $\leftarrow$  {j : TS[j]  $\neq$  0  $\wedge$  j  $\notin$  suspected<sub>i</sub>}

        ⟨t, j⟩  $\leftarrow$  min{⟨TS[x], x⟩ | x  $\in$  competing}

    until j = i

stop\_help(i) :=

    TS[i]  $\leftarrow$  0





**Thm.:** the contention manager just seen transforms an obstr-free implementation into a wait-free enriched implementation.

*Proof:*

By contr.,  $\exists$  an invocation of a correct  $p_i$  that never terminates; let  $t_i$  be its timestamp

→ choose the minimum of such  $\langle t_i, i \rangle$

By constr. of  $\text{weak\_ts}()$ , the set of invocations smaller than  $\langle t_i, i \rangle$  (call it  $I$ ) is finite

- For every invocation  $\in I$  from a process  $p_j$  that crashes during its execution
  - $p_i$  will eventually and forever suspect  $p_j$  (i.e.,  $j \in \text{suspected}_i$ )
  - eventually,  $j \notin \text{competing}_i$  and, thus, won't prevent  $p_i$  from proceeding
- Since  $\langle t_i, i \rangle$  is the minimum index of a non-terminating invocation
  - all invocations  $\in I$  of correct processes terminate
  - if such processes invoke  $\text{need\_help}()$  again, they obtain greater indexes
  - eventually  $I$  gets emptied

Since  $p_i$  is correct, eventually (for all  $p_k$  correct):

- $i \notin \text{suspected}_k$
- $\langle t_i, i \rangle = \min \{ \langle \text{TS}[x], x \rangle \mid x \in \text{competing}_k \}$

Hence, the invocation with index  $\langle t_i, i \rangle$  will eventually have exclusive execution

→ because of obstr.-freedom it eventually terminates

OBS: since non-blocking implies obstr.-fr., the Thm holds also for non-blocking impl.



On implementing  $\diamond P$ :

- Every non-failed process has eventually an upper bound on the write delay
- By properly setting timers, eventually crashed processes are distinguished from the non-crashed ones by looking at the suspicions: for the crashed ones, this numbers increases indefinitely; for non-crashed ones, some reset eventually happens.

